

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,512	INOUE, YOSHIFUMI	
	Examiner Jeffrey B. Robertson	Art Unit 1712	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Jeffrey B. Robertson.

(3) _____.

(2) Frederick D. Vastine.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 January 2006

Time: 10:20 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *n/a*.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

25

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated that in view of the proposed amendment after-final, that claim 25 was not further limiting of claim 19. Mr. Vastine agreed to cancel claim 25 and change the dependency of claim 26 to claim 19.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)